


**SOUTH YORKSHIRE PENSIONS AUTHORITY RISK REGISTER AS AT 22/01/2021**

Risk No	Risk Type	Risk Title	Risk Consequences	Risk Owner	Existing Control Measures	Current Score	Probability & Impact	Target Score	Probability & Impact	Risk Mitigation Action	Owner	Risk Change at Review	Last Review Date
G1	Governance	Failure to ensure that the elected Members knowledge and understanding of pensions related activities is robust and meets the statutory requirements in terms of Section 248a of the Pensions Act 2004.	Leading to ..... Improper scrutiny and challenge by elected Members; Mistakes, errors and omissions and non-compliance with statutory requirements; Failure to ensure contributions are collected; Failure to ensure benefits are calculated properly; Failure to ensure surplus monies are properly and prudently invested; Reputational damage in terms of censure from regulators.	Clerk to the Authority	Induction training provided to new Members which comprises a three day external training course; Programme of internal seminars; Periodic awareness presentations delivered to Members; A self-assessment framework for Members and Chairs is in operation but needs refining – this should assist in identifying training requirements; Lead member for training identified; Working to the spirit of CIPFA Code of Practice (Code of Practice on Public Sector Pensions Finance, Knowledge and Skills, revised in 2013 Production of Annual Report which includes commentary on Members training activities; External training augmented by internal training.	9	I = M P = M	2	I = L P = VL	Review of Member self-assessments.  Addition of the Regulator's on line toolkit as a mandatory training requirement.  <i>Comment 22.01.21</i> No change to current score at this stage as continuing remote operation does enhance risks in this area. Further work on learning and development strategy programmed to run up to the Annual Meeting.	Clerk to the Authority  Clerk to the Authority		22.01.21
G2	Governance	Failure to ensure that the Local Pension Board is effective in carrying out its role.	Leading to ..... Ineffective scrutiny of the way in which the Scheme Manager (the Authority) exercises its responsibilities Action by the Regulator.	Clerk to the Authority and Director	Induction training and commitment to an ongoing programme of learning and development for all members.  Introduction of an independent element to ensure that the Board is not "officer led".  Stabilisation of Board membership.	9	I=M P=M	2	I=L P=VL	Additional learning development opportunities being provided.  <i>Comment 22.01.2021</i> No change from previous review See comment above with regard to the Authority. In addition the Board will be carrying out a self-assessment of its effectiveness to contribute to its annual report.	Clerk to the Authority/ Director		22.01.21
G3*	Governance	Disruption and reduction in the effectiveness of the control environment	Remote working makes operation of baseline control arrangements more difficult or impossible Covid 19 infections reduce the numbers of staff available so that current controls cannot be operated	Senior Management Team	Adaptation of previous control arrangements to a remote working scenario to ensure that controls continue to operate in the first instance. Electronic workflows that accommodate staff absence in dealing with sign offs Ensuring that more than one person is capable of performing any task within a control process Ongoing review of staff absences at regular SMT meetings allowing risks to be highlighted early	8	I=H P=L	6	I=L P=M	Gradual extension of the number of processes where electronic workflows are used. Identification of staff who could be trained to provide cover in areas where resilience is lower than others <i>Comment 22.01.21</i> No change since previous assessment. The implementation of new systems (particularly the back office systems) in the coming financial year will reduce risk in these areas.	Senior Management Team		22.01.21
I1	Investment and Funding	Failure to ensure that the Authority has appropriate access to its cash resources to meet its commitments to make payments. (Liquidity and credit risk.)	Leading to ..... Financial loss; Negative impact on overall financial viability of the Scheme; Inability to meet pensioner payroll costs and investment commitments. Reputational damage.	Director	The Fund has immediate access to its cash holdings with the majority of cash being deposited for no longer than a week. Levels of cash holding are monitored daily. Treasury activity reviewed weekly by management and twice yearly by elected members with an annual review of limits. Treasury Management Strategy sets limits for the duration and risk profile of deposits with financial institutions. Triennial actuarial review considers contribution rates and cash flow requirements. New software available from the Actuary to assist with cashflows and funding level.	3	I = M P = VL	4	I = L P = L	Introduction of quarterly reporting of treasury activity to elected members.  Consideration being given to splitting frictional cash (required for day to day purposes from cash awaiting investment).  <i>Comment 22.01.21</i> No change from previous assessment. The level of cash holdings has reduce to a more normal level following the deployment of cash to new investments. It remains difficult to find places to invest cash which deliver any yield while meeting the requirements for security.	Director		22.01.21
I2	Investment and Funding	Failure to maintain the gains in funding levels achieved since the 2016 valuation, either as a result of falls in the market value of investments or an increase in the value of liabilities.	Leading to ..... The need to maintain high (and possibly unaffordable) levels of deficit contributions. The need to increase future service contribution rates which may create financial difficulties for employers given the economic environment in which they operate.	Director/ Head of Investment Strategy	The Investment Strategy already looks to shift out of more volatile "growth" assets into less volatile income earning assets.	8	I = H P = L	4	I = H P = VL	First principles review of the Investment Strategy to be undertaken alongside the triennial valuation from April 2019 for implementation from April 2020. Options for containing or reducing liabilities (e.g. a trivial commutation review) will be examined following the actuarial valuation. However, in the meantime data cleansing activity will be	Director/ Head of Investment Strategy		22.01.21

Risk No	Risk Type	Risk Title	Risk Consequences	Risk Owner	Existing Control Measures	Current Score	Probability & Impact	Target Score	Probability & Impact	Risk Mitigation Action	Owner	Risk Change at Review	Last Review Date
			Critical review by the Government Actuary as part of their s 13 Valuation.							focussed on areas that impact the value of liabilities.  <i>Comment 22.01.21</i> No change from previous assessment Market conditions remain "fragile" with market highs seeming to have no basis in economic reality. This has resulted in strong performance and improved funding levels. Assets have been moved as far as possible to the target allocations set in the Strategy Review. However, there remains a movement out of index linked gilts which is dependent on drawdowns into alternatives. These funds remain in index linked as this is a less risky asset class which does generate some return.			
13	Investment and Funding	Failure to implement effective arrangements for the oversight of investment management functions being undertaken by Border to Coast Pensions Partnership.	Leading to ..... Inability to adhere to Authority policies and potentially not be able to fulfil the Investment Strategy.	Head of Investment Strategy	Border to Coast is an FCA regulated body and as such is expected to adhere to the Stewardship Code and work within stipulated guidelines as set out in prospectus. These guidelines were set with discussion with underlying funds. Alignment of policies with underlying fund policies Ensured that Border to Coast have sub funds to allow SYPA to fulfil its strategy. Ongoing collaboration about policy. Ongoing collaboration regarding potential changes to Authority strategy. Analysis of investment performance on a monthly/quarterly basis with detailed analysis on an annual basis.	6	I = M P = L	6	I = M P = L	Border to Coast have agreed a process for the provision of controls assurance with all the audit firms involved in the LGPS.  <i>Comment 22.01.21</i> No change from previous assessment that the risk is in line with the target. In addition to previous measures Border to Coast have introduced quarterly calls covering each of the product streams (internal funds, external funds and alternatives) together with a focus session with one external manager each quarter. These are providing further transparency and a further reassessment will be made after the Annual Review of Border to Coast.	Head of Investment Strategy		22.01.21
14	Investment and Funding	Failure to secure products through Border to Coast which address the requirements of the Fund's investment strategy.	Leading to ..... Failure to achieve required investment return. Erosion of the overall value of the Fund. Negative impact on contribution rates at valuation points.	Head of Investment Strategy	Ongoing dialogue with both Border to Coast and partner funds in order to influence product development. Monitoring of developments in the market place and where appropriate championing these within discussions with Border to Coast and partner funds.	4	I = H P = VL	3	I = M P = VL	Engagement with Border to Coast as an "implementation partner" in the development of the investment strategy.  <i>Comment 22.01.21</i> No change in assessment at this stage. It is likely that it will be possible to remove this risk once the final position in relation to the Border to Coast property proposition has been determined later in the year.	Head of Investment Strategy		22.01.21
15	Investment and Funding	Impact of Climate Change on the value of the Fund's investment assets and its liabilities.	Leading to ..... An increased gap between the value of assets and liabilities. Reduction in the level of investment income as companies failing to adapt to a low carbon economy become less able to pay dividends Changes in the liability profile of the Fund.	Director and Head of Investment Strategy	Climate Change Policy in place in addition to the Responsible Investment Policy, supported by engagement activity with investee companies to encourage a planned and more rapid transition to a low carbon economy. Ongoing monitoring of the carbon intensity of equity portfolios every other year in place. Lower carbon tilt adopted within the equity portfolios and continued by Border to Coast. Investment in the extended opportunity set provided by the move to a low carbon economy targeted within the Alternatives portfolio, particularly infrastructure. Ongoing monitoring of demographic data by the actuary in place.	15	I = VH P = M	9	I = M P = M	Product from the Border to Coast Climate working party including providing more regular measurement of the carbon intensity of portfolios.  Consideration of alternative investment approached as part of the Investment Strategy Review.  Scenario planning within the context of the ongoing development and review of investment strategies.  Adoption of a "net zero by 2050" goal together with improvements in impact reporting to fully understand the scale of emissions.  <i>Comment 22.01.21</i> No change in assessment. Any movement will depend upon the adoption and more importantly the implementation of an action plan which will take place from March 2021.	Head of Investment Strategy  Head of Investment Strategy  Director  Director		22.01.21

Risk No	Risk Type	Risk Title	Risk Consequences	Risk Owner	Existing Control Measures	Current Score	Probability & Impact	Target Score	Probability & Impact	Risk Mitigation Action	Owner	Risk Change at Review	Last Review Date
16*	Investment and Funding	Contribution rates for employers are unaffordable due to business interruption	Employers (particularly TAB's and CAB's) unable to meet their liabilities due to not receiving income as a result of the interruption of their business due, for example, to school closures.	Head of Pension Administration	Existing assessment of employer risk and covenant identifying higher risk employers Ongoing communication and dialogue with employers and the Fund Actuary to identify possible options.	8	I = L P = H	8	I = L P = H	Identification of the applicability of the policy responses for private sector DB schemes to LGPS and engagement with the Scheme Advisory Board Implementation of new regulations allowing interim valuations and increased flexibility around exits <i>Comment 22.01.21</i> <i>No change in assessment. No further requests have been received but the ongoing disruption to business for providers in a number of sectors is likely to continue to present a challenge for some employers and therefore it is important that the risk remains on the register.</i>	Head of Pension Administration		22.01.21
17*	Investment and Funding	Business continuity failures mean employers are unable to meet contribution payment deadlines.	Employers unable to submit monthly data returns on time which from April 2020 will generate the input for direct debit payments. Disruption to Fund cash flow	Head of Pension Administration and Head of Finance and Corporate Services	Ongoing dialogue with employers to identify problems early. Maintenance of significant available cash balances through the Treasury Management portfolio	3	I = VL P = M	4	I = L P = L	Enhanced monitoring of contribution receipt and cash flow  Redirection of Engagement Officer resource to maintain contact with employers to provide early warning of issues Focussed support to employers with the greatest difficulties, for example support with data submissions  <i>Comment 22.01.21</i> <i>Target reassessed to a lower level reflecting both experience and the acceptability of risk in this area. Actual reassessed downwards as a result of continuing very high levels of compliance by employers.</i>	Head of Finance and Corporate Services Head of Pension Administration Head of Pensions Administration		22.01.21



Risk No	Risk Type	Risk Title	Risk Consequences	Risk Owner	Existing Control Measures	Current Score	Probability & Impact	Target Score	Probability & Impact	Risk Mitigation Action	Owner	Risk Change at Review	Last Review Date
03	Operational	Closure of Government Guaranteed Minimum Pension service and reconciliation exercise.	Leading to ..... Significant under/overpayments of existing pensions in payment causing member hardship and reputational damage; Workload pressures of adjustment to excess volumes of member records. Failure to maintain adequate records going forward.	Head of Pensions Admin	Reputable external provider appointed to meet initial HMRC deadline of 31 October 2018; External provider currently handling responses finally received from HMRC to all mismatch queries raised. The final report from HMRC will allow the external provider to carry out a full final reconciliation across the database before we move to rectification. The final reconciliation is expected to be a two month project.	12	I = H P = M	6	I = M P = L	Liaison with LGPS funds to aim to ensure consistent approach to rectification once reconciliation finalised.  Assurance work to be commissioned once HMRC issue final liability report  <i>Comment 22.01.21</i> No change following assessment report now received from external provider with an analysis of action required relating to final HMRC data. The implications are being worked through by the team, following which it may be possible to reassess the score and identify the potential timescale for completion of the work and removal of the risk. .	Head of Pensions Administration		22.01.21
04*	Operational	Significant reduction in productive capacity due to impact of the virus on sickness levels	Creation of backlogs of work and potential for missing key deadlines. Potential for backlogs of retirements to result in financial hardship and large arrears payments. Potential for backlogs of death cases to result in the need to recover large overpayments Failure to meet statutory deadlines for case processing and for issue of Annual Benefit Statements.	Senior Management Team	Monitoring of sickness levels and productivity through regular SMT Business Continuity calls. Clear criteria within which casework is prioritised. Risk of compliance failures raised with TPR at national level with request to consider flexibility if required. Annual Benefit Statement exercise to start in May rather than July to balance workloads.	12	I=M P=H	12	I=M P=H	Reassessment of priority activities to concentrate on those activities that directly impact: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The retirement process</li> <li>- Pensioner deaths and deaths in service</li> <li>- Payment of staff and supplier</li> <li>- Collection of all forms of income</li> </ul> Redeployment of resources from support areas (Engagement, Technical UPM Team) to casework and from other corporate areas to financial processing. <i>Comment 22.01.21</i> There has been an increase in sickness in the last quarter (both long term and short term) although total levels of sickness remain below the levels of the previous year. In addition the need for staff to "home school" during the current lockdown is adding pressure. As a result it is not possible to reduce this score.	Senior Management Team		22.01.21
P1	People	Failure to maintain a suitably qualified and experienced workforce which reflects the community which the Authority serves.	Leading to ..... Continuing imbalances in the Authority's workforce which create the potential for a sudden loss of a significant amount of experience. Skills gaps through a lack of succession planning. Reputational damage through criticism of the lack of diversity in the workforce. Impact on productivity and organisational resilience.	Director	A structured career grade scheme supported by highly structured and exam based training is in place for a key group within the pension administration workforce. Procedures within pension administration are well documented. Identification of potential single points of failure and production of plans to eliminate them. Production of an HR and Organisational Development Strategy targeting these issues.	9	I = M P = M	6	I = L P = M	Full implementation of the HR and Organisational Development Strategy. Formalise workforce and succession planning arrangements Implement Management. Development Programme covering all staff with supervisory and wider management responsibilities. Identification of potential single points of failure and production of plans to eliminate them. <i>Comment 22.01.21</i> No change in assessment. Completion of recruitment to vacant posts following the Finance and Corporate Services Restructure this quarter should improve resilience but the deferral of key pieces of work in relation to learning and development to 2021/22 due to Covid-19 means that it will not be possible to reduce the score until further progress is seen in these areas.	Director		22.01.21

Key: P = Probability I = Impact

VL (1) = Very Low; L (2) = Low; M (3) = Medium; H (4) = High; VH (5) = Very High

**Risk Matrix**

5 Very High	5	10	15	20	25
4 High	4	8	12	16	20
3 Medium	3	6	9	12	15
2 Low	2	4	6	8	10
1 Very Low	1	2	3	4	5
	1 Very Low	2 Low	3 Medium	4 High	5 Very High

**PROBABILITY**

**Risk Score**

Risk Score	RAG Rating
0 – 5	Low
6-14	Moderate
15-25	High